UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,570	07/21/2006	Robert W. Morris	30004-004US1	2103
69713 7590 06/10/2008 OCCHIUTI ROHLICEK & TSAO, LLP 10 FAWCETT STREET CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138			EXAMINER	
			BORSETTI, GREG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

INFO@ORTPATENT.COM

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/565,570 MORRIS, ROBERT W. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit GREG A. BORSETTI 2626 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) GREG A. BORSETTI. (3) JAMES WOZNIAK. (2) ROBIN ROHLICEK. Date of Interview: 03 June 2008. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 5. Identification of prior art discussed: Chou #6185527). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Subject matter was discussed over claims 1 and 5.. There were disagreements over the intrepretation taken by the examiner in light of Chou. Potential amendments were discussed over the differences over prior art of record in the additional unknown signal present in applican'ts invention. The potential amendments were agreed upon as overcoming the cited art and may be submitted in a next office action for consideration by the examiner. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Talivaldis Ivars Smits/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required